organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Louis Cottier,^a Rajendra M. Srivastava,^b Denis Sinou,^a João R. de Freitas Filho^b and Erwann Jeanneau^c*

^aLaboratoire de Synthèse Asymétrique, associé au CNRS, CPE Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, 43 boulevard du 11 Novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France, ^bDepartamento de Química Fundamental, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50.740-540 Recife, PE, Brazil, and ^cCentre de Diffractométrie, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, 43 boulevard du 11 Novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

Correspondence e-mail: erwann.jeanneau@univ-lyon1.fr

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å R factor = 0.046 wR factor = 0.047 Data-to-parameter ratio = 7.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

(3a*R*,5*R*,7*S*,7a*S*)-5-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl]-7-ethoxy-3-methyl-7,7a-dihydro-3a*H*pyrano[4,3-*d*]isoxazol-4(5*H*)-one

The title compound, $C_{16}H_{29}NO_5Si$, is a unique heterocyclic compound where the 3-methyl-4,5-dihydroisoxazole (3-methyl- Δ^2 -isoxazoline) ring has a tetrahydropyran-4-one group fused to the five-membered heterocyclic ring. This bicyclic heterocycle has four asymmetric centers with well defined configurations at all stereocenters.

Received 6 October 2005 Accepted 24 October 2005 Online 11 January 2006

Comment

The title compound, (III), has recently been synthesized by 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of acetonitrile oxide (II) to an α,β unsaturated enone, ethyl 6-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl- α -Dglycero-hex-2-enopyranosid-4-ulose, (I). The ORTEP diagram of this compound has been reported without any further details (de Freitas Filho et al., 2003). The absolute configuration of the starting compound (I) was know since it was synthesized from tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal. Therefore, the addition of acetonitrile oxide (II) to (I) could have occurred either from the same (path b) or the opposite side (path a) of the aglycone (ethoxy group), as shown in the scheme. If the approach of (II) occurs from the same side, the reactive species would encounter steric hindrance from the ethoxy group. Approach from the opposite side did not face this problem. Therefore, it was highly probable that the reaction would take place as expected to give (III) and not (III'). In order to verify this with certainty, the X-ray structure determination was carried out and the results confirmed our expectations. All distances and angles for (III) are consistent with the expected values. The configuration adopted by the six-membered heterocycle is a distorted boat. The fivemembered heterocycle is nearly planar, with a maximum deviation of 0.018 (4) Å from the mean plane.



© 2006 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Experimental

The title compound, (III), was obtained *via* the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of acetonitrile oxide (II) to α -D-glycero-hex-2-enopyranosid-4-ulose (I) (de Freitas Filho *et al.*, 2003). The crystallized compound (III), obtained after purification on silica gel, was dissolved in a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (70:30 *v/v*). Slow evaporation gave crystals of good quality for X-ray analysis.

> Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 2082 reflections $\theta = 1.0-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.14 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 (2) K Needle, colorless $0.04 \times 0.02 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}$

2388 independent reflections

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\rm int} &= 0.049\\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 27.4^\circ \end{aligned}$

 $h = -9 \rightarrow 8$

 $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$

 $l = -29 \rightarrow 29$

1647 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Crystal data

C ₁₆ H ₂₉ NO ₅ Si
$M_r = 343.49$
Orthorhombic, P212121
a = 7.366 (5) Å
b = 11.372 (5) Å
c = 23.020 (5) Å
$V = 1928.3 (16) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.183 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: part of the refinement model (ΔF) (*DIFABS*; Walker & Stuart, 1983) $T_{\min} = 0.78, T_{\max} = 1.00$ 3739 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F	Prince, 1982):
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$	$w = [1 - (F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c})^2/36\sigma^2(F_{\rm o})]^2/$
wR(F) = 0.048	$[1.31T_0(x) - 0.0224T_1(x) +$
S = 1.06	$0.584T_2(x) + 0.0446T_3(x)],$
1647 reflections	where $x = F/F_{\text{max}}$
208 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
H-atom parameters not refined	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.26 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
Weighting scheme: Chebychev	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
polynomial (Watkin, 1994;	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

C11-Si	1.860 (5)	C13-Si	1.879 (4)
C12-Si	1.857 (4)	O21-Si	1.663 (3)
C13-Si-C11	110.5 (2)	C13-Si-O21	109.31 (15)
C13-Si-C12	111.3 (2)	C11-Si-O21	104.85 (17)
C11-Si-C12	111.2 (2)	C12-Si-O21	109.54 (17)

The H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map. They were initially refined using soft restraints on the bond lengths and angles to regularize their geometry [C-H = 0.95 (4) to 0.98 (2) Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)]$, after which they were fixed during the final cycles. In the absence of significant anomalous scattering, Friedel pairs were merged; the absolute configuration is known from the synthesis.





A view of (III) (displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the 30% probability level).

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 2001); cell refinement: *DENZO/SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO/SCALEPACK*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR97* (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *CRYSTALS* (Betteridge *et al.*, 2003); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Putz, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *CRYSTALS*.

References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G. L., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Grazia, A., Moliterni, G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- Betteridge, P. W., Carruthers, J. R., Cooper, R. I., Prout, K. & Watkin, D. J. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 1487.
- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (1996). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Postfach 1251, D-53002 Bonn, Germany.

Freitas Filho, J. R. de, Cottier, L., Srivastava, R. M. & Sinou, D. (2003). Synlett, pp. 1358–1360.

- Nonius (2001). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Prince, E. (1982). Mathematical Techniques in Crystallography and Materials Science. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.
- Watkin, D. J. (1994). Acta Cryst. A50, 411-437.